

\$~30.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2302/2016**

UMESH THR. UNCLE / PAROKAR JAGDISH PRASAD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. D.S. Kauntae, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF UP)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajat Katyal, APP and Ms. Ankita Goyal, Advocate, for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

10.11.2016

%

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in place of State of UP, the Government of NCT of Delhi should be substituted as the respondent.

The said prayer is allowed. The name of the respondent stands substituted as Government of NCT of Delhi.

Crl.M.A. No. 17564/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 2302/2016

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to

withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

NOVEMBER 10, 2016

B.S. Rohella

VIPIN SANGHI, J