

\$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C.4205/2016**

POOJA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate along  
with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. .... Respondents

Through: Ms.Radhika Kolluru, APP along with  
SI Vijender Kumar, PS Vasant Vihar,  
for the State.  
Mr.Prakash Kumar, Adv. for  
respondent No.2/Kavita.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI**

**ORDER**

%

**12.05.2017**

**Crl.M.A. 6263/2017**

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

**Crl.M.A. No. 6262/2017**

This application has been preferred by the petitioners for clarification/modification of the order dated 10.11.2016, whereby FIR No.659/2013 dated 13.12.2013 under Sections 323/354B/506/34 IPC registered at PS Safdarjung Enclave, District South Delhi was quashed qua the originally impleaded petitioner, namely, Smt. Pooja. The application has been moved on account of the fact that in the said FIR, there were other persons who were named as accused. Along with the application, the petitioners have

placed on record a memorandum of parties impleading the other accused as the petitioner Nos. 2 to 7. The complainant Smt. Kavita wife of Jasbir Singh is present in the court and she accepts notice. SI Vijender Singh of PS Vasant Vihar, who used to be I.O. in case FIR No. 659/2013 is present and he identifies the complainant as well as the other accused. The complainant/respondent No.2 Kavita states that the FIR be quashed not only against the originally impleaded petitioner Smt. Pooja but against all the other petitioners, who are now being joined as petitioners by the present application. It is pointed out that the parties are family members and the dispute arose out of the quarrel within the family. The complainant states that she has not been subjected to any pressure or coercion and that she has entered the settlement out of her own free will. The complainant further states that she joins the prayer for quashing of the FIR in question qua all the accused in the said FIR.

Accordingly, no useful purpose would be served in proceeding further with the FIR in question and the proceedings emanating therefrom. The same are hereby quashed against all the accused.

Dasti.

**VIPIN SANGHI, J**

**MAY 12, 2017**

*B.S. Rohella*