

\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3241/2016 & Crl.M.A. nos. 17565-66/2016

RAVINDER GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through :Mr. Deepak Khosla and Mr. Bharat
Arora, Advs.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through :Mr. Ashish Kumar & Ms. Srishti
Gupta, Advs. with Mr. Amrik Ram,
Chief Manager (Law) for respondent
no. 1
Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Adv. for respondent
no. 2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

10.11.2016

As per the amended Section 17 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, Debt Recovery Tribunal has power to deal with objections of the tenant, thus, objections of the tenant (petitioner) have not been entertained by the learned CMM. I do not find any jurisdictional error in the impugned order. Petitioner is at liberty to approach the Debt Recovery Tribunal for redressal of his grievances.

Petition is dismissed. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

NOVEMBER 10, 2016

rb