

\$~11.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10459/2016**

UMESH SACHDEVA

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Shanker Raju & Mr. Nilansh Gaur,
Advocates.

versus

M.C.D. (EAST ZONE) & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Standing
Counsel for East Delhi Municipal Corporation-
respondent No. 1.

Mr. Joymoti Mize, Advocate for Mr. Naresh
Kaushik, Advocate for UPSC-respondent No. 4.

Ms. Swaty Singh Malik & Mr. Harsh Nagar,
Advocates for respondent No. 5.

Mr. M.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.
12.

Ms. Biji Rajesh & Ms. Eshita Baruah, Advocate
for Mr. Gaurang Kanth, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

ORDER

07.11.2016

%

After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be filing a review application before the Tribunal seeking clarification on certain aspects. He, therefore, seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petition. Without expressing any opinion, we allow the present

writ petition to be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. A review application, if filed, would be examined as per the parameters of review. However, in case application for condonation of delay is filed within fifteen days from today, it will not be dismissed on the ground of limitation. We also clarify that we have not interfered with paragraph 4 of the impugned order.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

SUNITA GUPTA, J.

**NOVEMBER 07, 2016
VKR**