

\$~182

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10057/2016**

ANURADHA BHILWAL Petitioner

Through: **Mr.M.K.Singh, Advocate**

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS Respondents

Through: **Ms.Jyoti Taneja, Advocate for R-1.**

Mr.M.J.S.Rupal, Adv for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

% 26.10.2016

CM No.39852/2016 (for exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P. (C) 10057/2016

The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking a direction to the respondent Delhi University to grant admission to the petitioner in first year LL.B course in the Faculty of Law. It is contended that the petitioner has done her graduation from Jodhpur National University. It is contended that there is some litigation pending and the Jodhpur National University has stated that since the matter of the students is pending with the Courts at Jaipur, Rajasthan, the migration and provisional certificates cannot be issued.

The petitioner, admittedly, does not possess the graduation degree, provisional certificate, migration certificate or character

certificate from the University from which the petitioner has undertaken the B.Sc course.

The information bulletin of the respondents requires production of the original of *inter alia* the above noted documents at the time of counseling.

Since the petitioner did not possess the said documents at the time of counseling, the admission was not granted. Furthermore, learned counsel for the respondent No.2 University, who appears on advance notice, submits that the last date for admission was 30th September, 2016 and now the admission process has also completed.

Since the petitioner does not possess the requisite documents and could not produce the same at the time of counseling, the action of the respondent in denying admission to the petition in LL.B course cannot be faulted. The contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner be granted provision admission subject to production of the documents after the litigation pending in courts at Jaipur cannot be accepted. There is no clarity as to the kind of litigation that pending in the court because of which the university has not been able to issue the certificates. The petitioner does not possess the originals of the mandatory documents and as such, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

In view of the above, I find no merits in the petition. The petition is accordingly dismissed.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

OCTOBER 26, 2016/sv