

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10050/2016 & CM No. 39844/2016**

VIJAY KUMAR & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.G. Tushar Rao with Mr.Mayank
Sharma & Mr.Vinod Sharma, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Anil Soni, CGSC & Mr.Naginder
Benipal, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **25.10.2016**

CM No. 39844/2016 (for exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10050/2016

After hearing learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioner seeking absorption and to take a decision on the representation in accordance with law within six weeks.

The petitioner may file an affidavit giving further and better

particulars of his claim to absorption as required by the respondent No.2. It is made clear that the time frame for consideration of the representation is mandatory.

Dasti.

INDIRA BANERJEE, J

JAYANT NATH, J

OCTOBER 25, 2016
gm