

\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P. (C) 9932/2016**

SHRI GANESH TIN STORE Petitioner
Through: Mr. Vasdev Lalwani, Advocate.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF VAT & ANR. Respondent
Through: Mr. R.A. Iyer, proxy for Mr. Gautam
Narayan, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

% **01.12.2016**

It is stated that refund amount has been paid; however, the interest has not been credited. Respondents are directed to pass appropriate orders on the interest and ensure that the entire and up to date interest along with principle refund due is remitted to the petitioner's account within a week. A direction is also issued that the interest shall be paid from the date it became due and not from the date of furnishing Form-9 and the calculation of interest shall also be indicated.

In case there is any discrepancy, the petitioner is at liberty to apply to the VATO who shall decide its application within a month of its presentation.

Writ Petitions is disposed of accordingly.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DECEMBER 01, 2016/vikas/

NAJMI WAZIRI, J