

\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P. (C) 9603/2016, CM APPL.38492/2016

SATYAM OVERSEAS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Jain with Mr. Virag Tiwari,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. P. Roychoudhuri, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

29.11.2016

%

It is stated by the counsel for the respondent that refund would be processed as expeditiously as possible.

Respondents are directed to ensure that the refund orders together with interest is passed within ten days and the amounts are also remitted within the same period. A direction is also issued that the interest shall be paid from the date it became due and not from the date of furnishing Form-9 and the calculation of interest shall be indicated.

In case there is any discrepancy, the petitioner is at liberty to apply to the VATO who shall decide the application within a month of its presentation.

Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly, along with pending application.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

NOVEMBER 29, 2016

/vikas/