

\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 9602/2016 & CM No. 38491/2016
HIMANSHU JUTE FAB Petitioner
Through : Mr. Virag Tiwari, Advocate.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES..... Respondent
Through : Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC for
GNCTD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

25.10.2016

Pursuant to the previous orders, the respondents have submitted the refund orders which have been finalized and would be issued along with interest payable, if any. The respondents shall ensure that refund orders are actually issued and payments are credited to the petitioner's account within a week, inclusive of interest. In case of any discrepancy, it is open to the petitioner to approach the VATO, who shall decide the application within a month after its receipt.

The writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 25, 2016/j