

\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 1056/2016**
DIVYA MAHLAN

..... Petitioner
Through Mr.Sudarshan Rajan and Mr.Archit
Arora, Advocate.

versus

NITIN MAHLAN

..... Respondent
Through Mr.Anil Kumar Sharma and
Mr.Apoorav Kumar Sharma,
Advocate along with respondent in
person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER
% **20.10.2016**

C.M.No.38796/2016 & C.M. No.38797/2016 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed subject to just exceptions. Applications disposed of.

CM(M) 1056/2016 & C.M.No.38795/2016

After some arguments, learned counsel for petitioner states that he is not pressing the petition on merit. He is however seeking waiver of the cost. Cost of Rs.10,000/- was imposed upon the petitioner for not being able to cross-examine PW-1/respondent who had been examined-in-chief on 01.02.2016.

Learned counsel for petitioner points out that thereafter on

18.4.2016 PW-1 was partly cross-examined by the petitioner. On the next two following dates i.e. on 27.5.2016 and 28.7.2016 learned Presiding Officer was on leave and that is why the evidence could not be carried out. The next date is the date of the impugned order.

Noting these facts as also keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has since left the job where she was employed, this Court is inclined to modify and reduce the cost. The cost of Rs.10,000/- is reduced to Rs.3000/-.

Petition disposed of.

Order dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

OCTOBER 20, 2016
ndn