

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3967/2016
BHARAT JAGDISH DUTT

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Himanshu Bajaj and
Mr.K.C.Bajaj, Advocates with the
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Raghwinder Varma, APP for State
with SI Sanjeev, P.S. Okhla Industrial
Area, New Delhi.
R-2/complainant in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER
30.11.2016

%

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR bearing No.624/2013, under Section 354-D/201 IPC read with Section 66A of the I.T. Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Okhla Industrial Area, New Delhi and all proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the respondent No.2/complainant were relatives and known to each other earlier and due to the misunderstanding, an FIR bearing FIR No.624/2013, under Section 354-D/201 IPC read with Section 66A of the I.T. Act, 2000 was got

registered by the respondent No.2/complainant at Police Station Okhla Industrial Area, New Delhi. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that after the registration of the FIR, with the intervention of the friends and near relatives, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties vide settlement deed dated 28th July, 2016. Counsel further submits that nothing further remains to be adjudicated between the parties and all the misunderstanding has been sorted out. Learned counsel further submits that since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the present FIR and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, which is coming in their way, may be quashed, as it is coming in their way as a hurdle in leading a peaceful life in near future.

The respondent No.2/complainant is present in Court today and has been identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Sanjeev, P.S. Okhla Industrial Area, New Delhi. The respondent No.2/complainant admits that she has amicably settled/compromised the matter with the petitioner. She submits that nothing further is to be adjudicated between the parties. She further submits that the settlement arrived at between the parties is voluntary and without any force, pressure or coercion. She further submits that she has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.

Looking into the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled/compromised between the parties vide settlement deed dated 28th July, 2016 and nothing remains to be adjudicated between the parties, therefore, to meet the ends of justice and to have peace and harmony in their respective life in near future, I deem it appropriate to quash the FIR in question and all proceedings arising therefrom. Consequently, FIR bearing No.624/2013, under Section 354-D/201 IPC read with Section 66A

of the I.T. Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Okhla Industrial Area, New Delhi and all proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed. Parties shall remain bound by the terms of the settlement deed dated 28th July, 2016.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signature of the Court Master, as prayed.

I.S.MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 30, 2016

'dc'