

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5856/2015

MANJEET KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Ankita Patnaik and Ms.Garima
Sachdeva, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms.Jyoti Dutt Sharma, Advocate with
Mr.B.K.Rout, Pairvi Officer, CRPF
Mr.S.S.Sejwal, Law Officer, CRPF

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **07.01.2016**

After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner sought liberty to make a fresh representation and submitted that all relevant medical records and the records to establish that the petitioner is in dire economic conditions were not submitted at the time of representing for compassionate allowance under Rule 41 (3). If such representation is made, the Central Reserve Police Force would consider it appropriately after having a verification done by the concerned local administration.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Order dasti.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 07, 2016/rb