

\$~5 to 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 296/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10658/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10660/2015 (for condonation of delay of 18 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

DHARAM PAL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kartik Jindal, Advocate for DDA.

+ **LA.APP. 299/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10669/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10670/2015 (for condonation of delay of 519 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

HARI PRAKASH & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Bakshi and Mr. Nishant Sharma, Advocates for DDA.

+ **LA.APP. 304/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10726/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10727/2015 (for condonation of delay of 484 days in filing the**

LA.APP. 296/2015 and connected matters

page 1 of 4

appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

MUKESH KR GUPTA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Bakshi and Mr. Nishant Sharma, Advocates for DDA.

+ **LA.APP. 305/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10752/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10753/2015 (for condonation of delay of 577 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHAMA RANI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kartik Jindal, Advocate for DDA.

+ **LA.APP. 316/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10809/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10810/2015 (for condonation of delay of 487 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

SUBHASH NAGPAL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kartik Jindal, Advocate for DDA.

+ **LA.APP. 321/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10852/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10853/2015 (for condonation of delay of 439 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

DINESH KUMAR & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Mrinalini Sen Gupta and Ms. Kritika Gupta, Advocates.

+ **LA.APP. 322/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 10752/2015 (for stay, under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC read with Section 151 CPC), 10753/2015 (for condonation of delay of 577 days in filing the appeal, under Order 41 Rule 3A read with Section 151 CPC and Section 5 of the Limitation Act)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate.

versus

KUSUM JAIN & ANR

..... Respondents

Through None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER
% **26.08.2016**

1. Counsel for the appellant states that the issue of compensation to be granted for acquired lands in the aforesaid cases stands concluded by the judgment of the learned Single Judge of this Court in the bunch of cases with lead case being *Jai Singh Vs. Union of India* in LA. Appeal No. 266/2008 decided on 23.8.2011 and against which judgment Special Leave Petition filed in the Supreme Court has been dismissed, and therefore, court fees are not being filed in these appeals which would be governed on merits by the decision in the case of *Jai Singh (supra)*.

2. In view of the above, these appeals are accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution on account of court fees not being paid/filed.


VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

AUGUST 26, 2016

AK