

\$~33

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 9447/2016

BHAGELU MAHTO

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Dharmender Sharma, Advocate.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC with
Mr. Mananjay Kumar Mishra,
Advocate for NDMC.
Mr.Varun Nischal, Advocate for
Delhi Police.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

19.10.2016

C.M.Nos.37837-38/2016 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed subject to just exceptions. Applications disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9447/2016 & C.M.No.37839/2016

Petitioner is stated to be vending at opposite shop no.61, Sarojini Nagar Market, New Delhi since 2007. He has filed certain challans in support of his stand.

In support of his case the petitioner has filed certain challans. His submission is that he is protected under Section 3(3) of the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014.

Per contra, learned counsel for respondent/New Delhi Municipal Council submits that these challans do not create any vested rights in favour of the petitioner.

Be that as it may, since the Town Vending Committee (TVC) of respondent no.1 has been constituted. Petitioner is granted liberty to approach the said TVC to get addressed his grievances, if any.

Petition disposed of in the above terms.

Order dasti.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

OCTOBER 19, 2016

ndn