

34# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2960/2016**

PUSHPENDER

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Ajit Kumar and Ms. Nutan Kumari, Advocates.**

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Mr. R.S. Kundu, Additional Standing Counsel for the State with Mr. Ankit K. Gulia, Advocate with SI Om Prakash, PS Badarpur.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
06.10.2016

%

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite having made an application to the competent authority, processed through the Jail, seeking parole, no decision thereon has been taken.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned Additional Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State submits that the application seeking parole was filed by the petitioner in the Jail on 17th September, 2016 and has now been received with the Home Department. He states that a decision on the application will be taken within a period of two weeks.

W.P.(CRL) 2960/2016

Page 1 of 2

5. In view of the statement of the learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State, nothing further survives in the present petition.

6. Petition is disposed of.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 06, 2016

‘vn’