

\$~34

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 9497/2016 & C.M. No.37964/2016**

**MATHURA BAI AND ORS**

..... Petitioners

Through Mr.N.K.Sahoo, Advocate.

versus

**NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**

..... Respondent

Through Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC with  
Mr. Mananjay Kumar Mishra,  
Advocate for NDMC.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR**

**ORDER**

%

**19.10.2016**

There are three petitioners before this Court. They are stated to be disabled and suffering from their respective handicap as has been disclosed in the petition. Petitioner no.1 is stated to be squatting at Janpath Lane, Mini Market, Parking of LIC Building, Connaught Place, New Delhi; petitioner no.2 is stated to be squatting at Bangla Saheb Gurudwara YMCA, T.B.Shop No.8, New Delhi and respondent no.3 is stated to be squatting at the back side of Bank of Baroda, Mini Market, Janpath Lane, New Delhi.

Petitioners in support of their case have filed certain challans. Their submission is that they are protected under Section 3(3) of the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014.

Per contra, learned counsel for respondent/New Delhi Municipal Council submits that these challans do not create any vested rights in favour of the petitioners.

Be that as it may, since the Town Vending Committee (TVC) of respondent no.1 has been constituted. Petitioners are granted liberty to approach the said TVC to get addressed their grievances, if any.

Petition disposed of in the above terms.

Order dasti.

**INDERMEET KAUR, J**

**OCTOBER 19, 2016**

**ndn**