

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.APP.(C)6/2015, CM APPL.11167, 11168, 18454 &
30591/2015

VIVEK SETHI Appellant
Through: Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi with Mr. Varun
Chandiok, Advocates.

versus

RITU SETHI Respondent
Through: Mr. Rajender Prasad with Mr. Kushal
Raj Tater, Mr. Sunil Kr. Jha and Ms. Shreya
Kasera, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

% **ORDER**
18.02.2016

This appeal is not maintainable in view of the clear mandate of Section 19 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 that only orders which culminated in conviction and imposition of punishment are appealable.

The appellant's counsel seeks liberty to withdraw the present proceedings and seek appropriate remedies. Learned counsel for the respondents initially sought to oppose the withdrawal but later he urged that this Court should direct the concerned executing Court seized of the matter to complete the proceedings in a time bound

manner. Liberty granted to the appellant. At the same time the concerned executing Court shall consider and decide the proceedings before it in accordance with law at its expedience. The rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

The appeal is dismissed as not maintainable but in the above terms.

Order dasti.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2016

/vikas/