

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

67

+

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 393/2016

CASTEX TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
With Mr. Ashish Bhan, Ms. Padmaja Kaul,
Mr. Mohit Rohatagi, Advocates.

versus

RIKEN CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. A.S. Chandhok, Senior Advocate
With Mr. Prateek Kumar, Ms. Anushka Sharda,
Ms. Sneha Janakiraman, Advocates.

CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

ORDER

%

04.10.2016

IA No. 12385 of 2016(exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 393/2016

2. No case is made out for grant of any interim relief at this stage as the Court is of the view that the petition is based on apprehensions which do not appear to be borne out by any of the documents placed on record. In particular the Petitioner has been unable to show on what basis it can be said at this stage that the Respondent proposes to set up a competing business in breach of the agreement between the parties. The Court is therefore not

dealing with the other objections that the Respondent has to the maintainability of the petition.

3. The petition is dismissed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

OCTOBER 04, 2016
mg