

\$~SB-1&2.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
FAO(OS)(COMM) 88/2016
ACCURE LABS PVT. LTD. Appellant.
Through: Mr. Utkarsh, Adv.
Versus
SUGEN INC. & ORS Respondents
Through: Ms. Rashmi Punia, Adv.
AND
+ FAO(OS)(COMM) 89/2016
SP ACCURE LABS PVT. LTD. Appellant.
Through: Mr. Utkarsh, Adv.
Versus
SUGEN INC. & ORS Respondents
Through: Ms. Rashmi Punia, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

01.09.2017

Review Petition No.188/2017 & CMs No.17986/2017 (for stay), 17987/2017 (for condonation of delay of 9 days in re-filing) & 17988/2017 (for exemption) in FAO(OS)(COMM) No.88/2016 & Review Petition No.191/2017 & CMs No.18123/2017 (for stay), 18124/2017 (for condonation of delay of 9 days in re-filing) & 18125/2017 (for exemption) in FAO(OS)(COMM) No.89/2016

1. The counsel for the review petitioner and the counsel for the opposite party appearing on advance notice have mentioned the matter.
2. It is stated that Mr. Pravin Anand, Advocate for the opposite party is unwell.
3. List on 10th November, 2017.
4. The counsels agree that they will take in the proceedings for execution of the order imposing costs.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

PRATIBHA RANI, J

SEPTEMBER 01, 2017

'pp/gsr'..