

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 572/2016

SUNDAR

..... Appellant

Through Mr.Tarun Sharma and Ms.Boudh
Prabha, Advocates

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through Ms.Pooja Kalra, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

ORDER

%

21.10.2016

Learned counsel for South Delhi Municipal Corporation has entered appearance and submits that the said corporation had noticed fresh unauthorized construction in Khasra No.51, Murgewali Gali, Sarai Kale Khan, New Delhi and action in accordance with law has been taken in all such cases. She further submits that impugned order does not bar or prevent the Corporation from taking the said action.

Learned counsel for the appellant, in view of the said statement made by the counsel for the Corporation, states that he would not press the present appeal and in case he has any specific grievance, he would write a letter to the Municipal Corporation. If required and necessary, he would take recourse to legal remedy in accordance with law.

At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant submits that cost of Rs.10,000/- has been imposed on the appellant. Having heard the counsel for the appellant, we make the cost easy. At this stage, the appellant, on his own, states that he would deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- with Delhi High Court Legal Services committee.

The appeal is disposed of.

Dasti.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

SUNITA GUPTA, J

OCTOBER 21, 2016

rs