

\$~38.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2888/2016**

BHUPENDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneett Singhal, Advocate
(DHCLSC).

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Mallika Parmar, Advocate for
Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC along with SI
Suresh Kumar, PS-S.J. Enclave, for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

03.10.2016

%

The petitioner has preferred the present petition to seek parole for a period of 3 months so as to re-establish social ties.

The nominal roll has been received, which shows that the petitioner was lastly on furlough between 29.06.2016 & 13.07.2016. The petitioner is convicted under Section 302 IPC and is undergoing life imprisonment. The petitioner has undergone 5 years, 11 months and 8 days of incarceration as on 18.09.2016.

The status report has been tendered by learned counsel, which is taken

on record. As per the status report, while the petitioner was lastly out on furlough, several complaints were filed by the petitioner's wife as well as by the petitioner against his wife in relation to disputes with regard to ancestral properties.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has received summons in a suit for partition and permanent injunction, which was returnable on 24.08.2016.

The said date has already gone. Since the petitioner was out on furlough till 13.07.2016, I am not inclined to allow this petition at this stage. In case the petitioner is required to be present before any Court, he can always be produced before the Court in custody.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

OCTOBER 03, 2016

B.S. Rohella