

\$~24 & 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Judgment Dated: 15th December, 2016

+ **W.P. (CRL.) 2825/2016**

INDERWATI Petitioner
Through Mr. Tejpal Shokeen, Advocate
versus

STATE & ORS Respondents
Through Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Advocate from
Delhi High Court Legal Services
Committee.
Insp. Lokender Singh, PS Malviya
Nagar & Insp. B.S. Gulia, PS Palam
Village, in person.
Mr. Nitendra Sharma, Advocate for
respondent No.4.

+ **W.P. (CRL.) 2757/2016**

PRAMILA Petitioner
Through Mr. Nitendra Sharma, Advocate
versus

STATE & ORS Respondents
Through Mr. R.S. Kundu, ASC with Mr. Ankit
Kr. Gulia, Advocate for the State along
with Insp. Lokender Singh, PS Malviya
Nagar & Insp. B.S. Gulia, PS Palam
Village, in person.
Mr. Tejpal Shokeen, Advocate for
respondents No.5 & 6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

G.S.SISTANI, J (ORAL)

1. Writ Petition (Crl.) 2825/2016 has been instituted by the sister of Ranbir Singh Gulia seeking a writ of Habeas Corpus. Various allegations have been made in this petition against the wife and children of Ranbir Singh including that Ranbir Singh was admitted to a de-addiction Centre without informing the other family members.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since Ranbir Singh has now been examined by the Doctors of All India Institute of Medical Sciences under the orders of the Court and the fact that he has joined the company of his wife and children, the petition may be disposed of.
3. Writ Petition (Crl.) 2757/2016 has been instituted by the wife of Ranbir Singh seeking protection to their life and liberty. Various allegations have been made in this writ petition by the wife of Ranbir Singh against the respondents No.5 & 6 who are the sister and brother-in-law of Ranbir Singh respectively. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 & 6 denies the allegations so made.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Ranbir Singh is residing with his family. However, there is constant interference from his sister and brother-in-law which is resulting in disharmony in the matrimonial home. Counsel further submits that the matter stands duly resolved between the husband and the wife before a Mediation Centre; report of the Mediator has been placed on record.
5. Learned counsel for respondents No.5 & 6 submits that there is no interference from their side neither there is any threat to the petitioner and her family members.
6. The statement made shall be treated as an undertaking to the Court. We

expect both the families to live in peace and harmony. The wife of Ranbir Singh submits that she will continue to look after him.

7. In view of above, both the petitions stand disposed of, as prayed.

G.S.SISTANI, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

DECEMBER 15, 2016/ka

