

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 544/2016

K S CHAUDHARI J (RETD)

Through

..... Appellant
Mr Ravi Sikri, Sr. Adv. with Mr R.R.
Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

Through

..... Respondent
Ms Amrita Prakash, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA

ORDER

%

19.10.2016

CM 36502/2016 (delay)

This is an application for condonation of delay of 19 days in filing the present Intra Court Appeal.

The delay in filing the appeal is condoned as the counsel for the respondents does not oppose the application. We also notice that the delay is small.

The application is disposed of.

LPA 544/2016

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the present appeal and states that the appellant, if so advised, may like to challenge the constitutional vires of Rule 11 of the Consumer Protection Rules, 1987.

In view of the statement made, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on the aspect or issues relating to the constitutional vires of Rule 11.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

SUNITA GUPTA, J

OCTOBER 19, 2016/rd