

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2845/2016**
VISHAMBER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.M.L.Yadav, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: APP for State with IO.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

27.09.2016

%

By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 19.07.2016 passed by the respondent whereby the request of the petitioner for parole was rejected and also for directing the respondent to release the petitioner on parole for a period of three months to enable him to get his younger daughter admitted in some reputed college/institute for her higher studies and maintain family relations and re-establish ties with the main stream of the society.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has to get his daughter admitted in the college. He further submits that earlier also the petitioner was granted parole and he never misused the liberty granted to him.

Verification report has been filed by the State. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the grant of parole to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in a very heinous crime. She further submits that the daughter, namely, Priya, of the petitioner has already been admitted in BBA Course in New Delhi Institute of Management, Jamia University, Tughlakabad, New Delhi and hence the ground on which the petitioner seeks parole does not survive for consideration and the petition deserves to be dismissed.

In view of the report submitted by learned APP for the State, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of parole does not survive for consideration at this stage.

The petition seeking grant of parole is accordingly dismissed.

I.S.MEHTA, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2016

'dc'