

\$~36.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2744/2016**

PRAMOD KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Suraj Rathi, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Mallika Parmar, Advocate for
Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC along with SI
Sumer Chand, PS-Nabi Karim, for the
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

%

20.09.2016

Crl. M.A. No. 14614/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2744/2016

The petitioner has preferred the present petition to seek parole for a period of three months to enable him to file Special Leave Petition.

The application of the petitioner made to the Government has been rejected on 01.09.2016 on account of adverse police report, which states that the petitioner is not residing at the given address. The petitioner has been

convicted of the offence under Section 376 IPC and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for a period of seven years. The petitioner, as per his own showing, has undergone incarceration of about three years. The appeal of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on 17.05.2016 upholding the conviction and sentence awarded to him by the Trial Court.

In these circumstances, I am not inclined to grant parole to the petitioner. The petitioner can always prefer a Special Leave Petition before the Supreme Court through jail. In case he wishes to engage a private counsel, the counsel shall be provided access to the petitioner for receiving instructions as per the Jail Manual.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SEPTEMBER 20, 2016

B.S. Rohella