

\$~3 to 5 & 39

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
- + **ITA 760/2016, C.M. APPL.40369/2016**
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (CENTRAL) Appellant
versus
SHRI SURESH NANDA Respondent
- + **ITA 761/2016, C.M. APPL.40370-40371/2016**
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (C)-I Appellant
versus
SHRI SURESH NANDA Respondent
- + **ITA 762/2016, C.M. APPL.40372-40373/2016**
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (CENTRAL)-I..... Appellant
versus
SHRI SURESH NANDA Respondent
- + **ITA 847/2016, C.M. APPL.44039-44040/2016**
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (CENTRAL)-I..... Appellant
versus
SHRI SURESH NANDA Respondent
Through: Sh. Sandeep Kapur and Ms. Apoorva
Pandey, Advocates, for respondent in Item Nos. 3
to 5 and 39.
Dileep Shivpuri, Sr. Standing Counsel with Sh.
Sanjay Kumar, Jr. Standing Counsel and Sh.
Vikrant. A. Maheshwari, Advocate, for appellant
in Item Nos. 3 to 5 and 39.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

28.11.2016

C.M. APPL.40369/2016 IN ITA 760/2016

C.M. APPL. 40371/2016 IN ITA 761/2016

C.M. APPL. 40373/2016 IN ITA 762/2016

C.M. APPL.44039-44040/2016 IN ITA 847/2016

The appeals have been refiled after an inordinate delay of 647

days (in ITA 760/2016, ITA 762/2016 & ITA 847/2016) and 686 days (in ITA 761/2016). The explanation too is unsatisfactory. Much less, it does not meet with the requirements of what constitutes “sufficient cause”. The applications for condonation of delay are, therefore, rejected.

ITA 760/2016

ITA 761/2016, C.M. APPL.40370/2016

ITA 762/2016, C.M. APPL.40372/2016

ITA 847/2016, C.M. APPL.44039/2016

The Court further notices that the question involved is whether the assessee could be called a “Resident Indian” to be obliged to file returns. The question has been concluded for another year AY 2007-08 where it was held that the assessee was not liable to report his earnings in India [in *Commissioner of Income Tax (C)-I v. Shri Suresh Nanda* (ITA Nos. 715/2014, 722/2014 & 723/2014, decided on 27.05.2015)]. We also notice that for another year, i.e. AY 2004-05, the same view was reiterated in *Commissioner of Income Tax (C)-I v. Shri Suresh Nanda* [ITA 29/2016, decided on 22.01.2016]. No question of law, therefore, arises. The appeals are accordingly dismissed along with the pending applications.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2016/ajk