

\$~95

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8041/2016 & CM No.33338/2016 (for interim relief)

SONALI

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. S.D. Singh, Mrs. Bharti Tyagi
and Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Poonam Kumari, Advocate for
respondent No.1.
Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal with Ms.
Simran Jeet, Advocates for
respondent No.2/Delhi University.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

23.09.2016

Learned counsel for the respondent University, under instructions, submits that in view of the peculiar facts of the case of the petitioner and the exceptional circumstances that the petitioner could not appear for the counseling on account of demise of her grandmother on the very morning of the first counseling, the Admission Committee has considered to make an exception in the case of the petitioner and has agreed to consider the petitioner in the next round of counseling for the available seats.

The statement of the counsel for the respondent University is taken on record.

The respondents shall consider the petitioner in the next round

of counselling, in accordance with her merit, for the remaining seats.

Since the representation of the petitioner has been considered in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the same shall not be considered as a precedent.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2016
st