

\$~25.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2001/2016**

SUSHANT THAPA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Mr. Rahul Kumar
Singh & Mr. R.C. Sharma,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajat Katyal, APP and Ms. Ankita
Goyal, Advocate along with Inspector
Prashant Kumar, SHO, PS-Welcome,
for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

28.09.2016

%

Crl. M.A. No.15261/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 2001/2016

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. However, the petitioner may apply for bail once the independent witnesses,

who are stated to be five in number, have been examined and cross-examined. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the recording of the evidence of the said independent witnesses. Neither the prosecution nor the accused shall seek adjournment for the said purpose. It is hoped that the evidence of the said witnesses shall be recorded within the next two months.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Dasti.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

B.S. Rohella