

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8000/2016**

MANJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Azam Ansari, Advocate.

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LTD. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Deepak Pathak, Advocate for
BSES.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

08.09.2016

Present writ petition has been listed in pursuance to an urgent mentioning being allowed by the Division Bench.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not wish to press the prayer clauses (b) to (e) and he only prays that the petitioner's electricity connection be restored forthwith.

Learned counsel for the respondent-BSES who appears on advance notice states that the petitioner's premise has been inspected today itself and it has been found that the electricity meter is duly energised. He further states that BSES has not disconnected the petitioner's power supply.

Admittedly, there are disputes between the petitioner and his sister, who is respondent no.2 in the present petition. Since private disputes cannot be resolved in the writ jurisdiction, present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate

proceedings in accordance with the law. However, the statements made by learned counsel for BSES are accepted by this Court and BSES is held bound by the same.

Order *dasti* under the signatures of Court Master.

MANMOHAN, J

SEPTEMBER 08, 2016
KA