

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.APP.(C) 5/2016**

SANMATI SABHA

..... Appellant

Represented by: Mr.Nimish Chib, Advocate.

versus

J K MITTAL & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Kumar Rajesh Singh, Advocate
for R-2.

Mr.Ajay Verma and Mr.Vaibhav
Mishra, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

% **15.09.2016**

CONT.APP.(C) 5/2016

1. Learned counsel for the appellant states that a Contempt Appeal (C) would not lie against the impugned order and thus the appeal may be permitted to be withdrawn observing that the intra Court appeal remedy availed of by the appellant in the form of a Letter Patent Appeal would be decided as per law.

2. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn observing that the LPA filed by the appellant against the impugned decision shall be decided by the Roster Bench as per law including objection by the respondents concerning maintainability of the LPA itself.

3. No costs.

CM No.33334/2016

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

SEPTEMBER 15, 2016/ 'st'

PRATIBHA RANI, J.