

\$~14

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P. (C) 7678/2016, CM APPL.31641/2016

HINDON RUBBERS PRIVATE LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manu K. Giri, Advocate.

versus

PR. COMMISSINER OF INCOME TAX-4 & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Chaudhary, Sr. Standing Counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

%

19.09.2016

It is stated by the counsel for the respondents that the claim of the writ petitioner has been satisfied. A copy of the communication dated 14.09.2016 addressed to the petitioner with respect to the amounts disbursed has been produced; the same is directed to be taken on the record.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that entire interest has not been paid and that interest for some period has been withheld. In case the petitioner has a subsisting grievance on that aspect, it is open to it to represent to the concerned Assessing Officer. In such an event, the Assessing Officer shall make an order within four weeks of receipt of the representation.

The writ petition is allowed to the above extent.

Order dasti.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2016

/vikas/