

\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
RFA 596/2014
NAG RAJ

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. T.S. Ahuja & Mr. Varun Ahuja,
Advts.

Versus

HARJEET SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Manish Kumar & Mr. Anupam
Kumar, Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

06.05.2016

1. This order is in continuation of the orders dated 22nd March, 2016, 7th April, 2016 and 26th April, 2016.
2. Though the appellant and the respondent are present but the counsel for the respondent states that the person/s before whom the respondent wants the appellant to apologise are not willing to come to this Court to hear the apology of the appellant.
3. Though the counsel for the appellant suggests that a direction enforcing the presence of such person/s before this Court be issued but the said person/s being not parties to this *lis*, it is not deemed appropriate to make such an order.
4. It is thus deemed appropriate to separately record the apology of the appellant and half a dozen copies of this order along with the statement of the appellant be supplied to the counsel for the respondent under signatures of the Court Master for distribution by the respondent to whomsoever the respondent desires.
5. Statement of the respondent has been separately recorded.

11

6. Accordingly, the judgment and decree dated 11th July, 2014 of the Court of Additional District Judge, Central-9, Delhi of dismissal of civil suit No.245/2013 bearing Unique Case ID No.02401C0407952012 filed by the appellant for specific performance is modified by passing a decree in favour of the appellant and against the respondent in the sum of Rs.1,50,000/- payable by the respondent to the appellant in equal monthly instalments commencing from the month of June, 2016 and ending in the month of January, 2017.

7. No costs.

Decree sheet be drawn.

MAY 06, 2016
'gsr'..

RFA 596/2014

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

Page 2 of 2