

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 875/2016

STATE

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State
with SI Shiv Kumar, P.S. Naraina.

versus

CHANDAN KUMAR SINGH & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

15.12.2016

Crl. M.A. 14469/2016 (Delay)

There is a delay of 148 days in filing the appeal. The only plea taken in this application is that after passing of the impugned judgment the file moved from one table to another for approval due to which it took time to file the appeal. It is submitted that delay is neither intentional nor deliberate.

The reasons seeking condonation of delay are totally vague. No details have been given as to with which authority the file remained pending and for what period. There is nothing on record to show that prompt action was taken in taking the approval for filing the appeal. Merely on this bald plea that file moved from one table to another which took time in taking the decision to file the appeal will not be sufficient to condone such a long

delay. Neither sufficient nor cogent reasons have been given for this delay.

For the foregoing reasons, application is dismissed, consequently, appeal is also dismissed being barred by time. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

DECEMBER 15, 2016

ga