

29# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1719/2016**

VESHAKHA GULATI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Radhey Shyam, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP
for the State with SI Rampal,
PS Safdarjung Enclave.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

23.08.2016

CrI. M.A. No. 12966/2016 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 1719/2016

1. Issue notice.
2. Learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. By the present petition the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.486/2016 under Section 408 IPC, PS Safdarjung Enclave, District South, Delhi.
4. The allegations in the FIR by the complainant against the petitioner are that the petitioner was in employment of the complainant where she committed a theft of one laptop with accessories from the company's office and had also ordered two laptops from Suncom Technologies on 19th May,

BAIL APPLN. 1719/2016

Page 1 of 2

2066 and 31st May, 2016.

5. The case of the petitioner is that the two laptops ordered by her from Suncom Technologies, the vendor who used to supply to the complainant's office, was from her personal account and that only one laptop was given to her for work during her employment which she would have surrendered on completion of the contract.

6. FIR was registered on 16th July, 2016 after one month and twelve days of the complaint having been received on 4th June, 2016. Even after registration of FIR more than one month has passed. The primary investigation that was required to be carried out in this case by the Investigating Officer was to examine the vendor as to whether the two laptops ordered by the petitioner were from the company's account or from her personal account. Till date no such investigation has been carried out.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on bail on her furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that she will join the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Officer and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Trial Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 23, 2016
'vn'

BAIL APPLN. 1719/2016

Page 2 of 2