

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. 1193/2012 & I.A. No.16207/2013

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN Petitioner
Through None.

versus

M/S METCALFE PROPERTIES PVT LTD & ORS Respondents
Through Mr.Varun Arora, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN SINGH

ORDER

% **18.01.2016**

Learned counsel for the respondents state that the present petition filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has become infructuous in view of the fact that award has already been passed by the Arbitrator on behalf of the petitioner. He further submits that the respondent has filed the petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 before this Court vide O.M.P. No.4/2016. The notice in the said petition is yet to be issued. This matter was taken on twice. No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Even otherwise, petition has become infructuous as award has already been passed.

The petition is dismissed.

MANMOHAN SINGH, J.

JANUARY 18, 2016/vp