

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 111/2016

BHANU PRAKASH

..... Appellant

Represented by: Mr.Amit Verma, Advocate.

versus

CHANDERPAL SINGH

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Vijay Kinger, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

16.08.2016

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 111/2016

1. Against the impugned order remedy under Section 397 of the Code of Criminal Procedure would be available to the appellant.
2. Attention of the appellant has been drawn to a Division Bench Judgment of this Court which is dated September 13, 2012 in FAO 388/2012 Manish Aggarwal vs. Seema Aggarwal & Ors.
3. Dismissing the appeal as not maintainable we observe that if the appellant was to take resort to the remedy of revision under Section 397 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the same shall be decided as per law.
4. No costs.

CM No.20712/2016

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

AUGUST 16, 2016/ 'st'