

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ITA 648/2016**
PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX(CENTRAL)-0 1,
..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Dileep Shivpuri, Sr. Standing
Counsel along with Mr. Sanjay
Kumar, Jr. Standing Counsel and Mr.
Vikrant Maheshwari, Advocate.

versus

M/S CECIL WEBBER ENGINEERING LTD. Respondent
Through: none.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **24.10.2016**

The question of law urged is '*Whether in the circumstances, the loss claimed was permissible as such on account of the forfeiture of the amount paid by the assessee towards investment made, covered by previous decision of this court in CIT vs. Chand Ratan Bagri, 329 ITR 356 ?*'

As a result, the court is of the opinion that no question of law arises.

The appeal is therefore dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 24, 2016

sapna