

34# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1652/2016**

HARVINDER PAL SINGH @ SAHIB

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. H.S Rain and A. Madan,
Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for the
State with SI Rajesh, PS
Khyala.
Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

12.08.2016

Crl. M.A. No.12581/2016 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 1652/2016

1. This is the second anticipatory bail application of the petitioner in case FIR No.161/2016 under Sections 376/354C/506 IPC registered at PS Khayala.
2. The change in the circumstance as per the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner and the complainant have settled the matter and have decided to marry.
3. The offence under Section 376 IPC is a serious offence and merely because the parties have settled the matter the same would not be a change

BAIL APPLN. 1652/2016

Page 1 of 2

of circumstance permitting this Court to entertain the second bail application.

4. Learned APP for the State also informs that the petitioner has already been declared a proclaimed offender on 25th July, 2016.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find it to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

6. Bail application is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 12, 2016

‘vn’