

8

6# to 10# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2893/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 12442/2016 (Stay)**

ARUNA VARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Rajtilak Guha Roy, proxy
counsel.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the
State.

+ **CRL.M.C. 2894/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 12444/2016 (Stay)**

ARUNA VARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Rajtilak Guha Roy, proxy
counsel.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCTOF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the
State.

+ **CRL.M.C. 2895/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 12446/2016 (Stay)**

ARUNA VARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Rajtilak Guha Roy, proxy
counsel.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the
State.

+ **CRL.M.C. 2896/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 12448/2016 (Stay)**

ARUNA VARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Rajtilak Guha Roy, proxy
counsel.

versus

CRL.M.C. 2893/2016, 2894/2016, 2895/2016, 2896/2016 & 2897/2016

page 1 of 3

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 30.09.2024 17:20:36
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 2897/2016 and Crl.M.A. No. 12450/2016 (Stay)

ARUNA VARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Rajtilak Guha Roy, proxy counsel.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% 02.11.2016

1. These petitions were for the first time listed on 11th August, 2016 when this Court passed the following order:

"By these petitions the petitioner challenges the order dismissing his application under Section 315 Cr.P.C. with cost. The defence of the petitioner is pending before the learned Trial Court under the 5 complaint cases under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act since 2011.

Today when the matter is listed for the first time for admission before this Court, learned proxy counsel says that learned counsel for the petitioner is unwell and seeks an adjournment.

Renotify on 2nd November, 2016 subject to the petitioner depositing a cost of ₹5,000/- in each petition with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee before the next date of hearing."

2. Applications were filed by the petitions for early hearing of the petitions and waiver of the cost which were dismissed by this Court on 19th October, 2016. Till date the cost as imposed vide order dated 11th August, 2011 has not been deposited in any of the matters.
3. Today on the first call learned proxy counsel for the petitioner was present and stated that the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petitions and application however, his vakalatnama was not on record and the counsel whose vakalatnama was on record was not present. Hence this Court could not permit withdrawal of the petitions. The matter was passed-over for calling the counsel whose vakalatnama is on record. However on the second call the learned counsel for the petitioner is still not available.
4. Petitions and applications are dismissed with further cost of ₹10,000/- each to be paid to the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee. In case the costs are not deposited by the petitioner within four weeks from today, the same be recovered as per law.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 02, 2016
'vn'