

\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2891/2016**

RAM BABU

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Mr.
Vishal Khadia, Advs.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with
WSI Birmati PS Dwarka North.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
11.08.2016

%

CrI.M.A. 12439/2016

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 2891/2016

Notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice.

The only grievance of the petitioner against the impugned order dated 26th July, 2016 is that he has been released on bail but is required to furnish two surety bonds for a sum of ₹30,000/- each which he is not in a position to furnish.

The residence of the petitioner has been verified by the investigating officer. The bail was granted to the petitioner after the child victim and mother appeared in the Court and said that the FIR was lodged out of anger. However, the condition of two surety bonds for a sum of ₹30,000/- is

CRL.M.C. 2891/2016

page 1 of 2

excessive considering the financial position of the petitioner who was a rickshaw puller.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case the order dated 26th July, 2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-1, South-West District in '*State Vs. Rambabu*' FIR No.162/16 PS Dwarka North is modified. The petitioner will now be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 11, 2016
'ga'