

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3860/2015 & CM 6909/2015 (stay)

JAG MINDER PARSHAD MITTAL & ORS Petitioners
Through: Ms Esha Mazumdar, Adv. with Mr Setu
Niket, Adv.

versus

LT. GOVERNOR OF DELHI & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr Parvinder Chauhan, Adv. with Mr Nitin
Jain, Adv. for NDMC.
Mr Naushad Ahmad Khan, ASG for GNCTD.
Mr Yeeshu Jain, Adv. with Ms Jyoti Tyagi, Adv. for
L&B/LAC.
Mr Dhanesh Relan, Adv. with Ms Akshita Manocha,
Mr Harshit Manaktale, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

22.11.2016

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. We are satisfied that the petitioners in this writ petition were before this court in the earlier round as also before the Supreme Court, through the Prahlad Vihar Residents Association. The petition in this court was W.P.(C) 3938/1996 and the appeal before the Supreme Court was Civil Appeal No. 3022-23/2007 which was disposed of on 21.03.2012. As a result, the case of the petitioners is entirely covered by the decision of this court in **Sunil Goel & Others v. The State and Ors: W.P.(C) 3049/2013** decided on 29.04.2014, along with other connected matters.

Consequently, the declaration dated 26.04.2013 being F.11(17)/91/L&B/LA/Pt. File/1285 under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 is quashed insofar as the petitioners are concerned. As a result, the notification dated 28.04.1995 being notification no. F.11 (17)/91/L&B/LA/6518 issued under section 4 of the said Act, insofar as petitioners' lands are concerned, would be regarded as having lapsed.

The writ petition is allowed as above. There shall be no order as to costs.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

JAYANT NATH, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2016
kb