

4

\$~12 and 22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RSA 444/2015 and C.M. Appl. Nos. 31219/2015 (for stay U/O 39 R 1 and 2 CPC) and 31220/2015 (for calling trial court record)**

SATYA PRAKASH AGGARWAL Appellant
Through: Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS Respondents
Through: None.

+ **RFA 855/2015 and C.M. Appl. No. 31163/2015 (for condonation of delay of 392 days in re-filing the appeal)**

SATYA PRAKASH AGGARWAL Appellant
Through: Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate.

versus

MOHIT DAYAL MATHUR & ANR Respondents
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER
09.08.2016

%

1. Counsel for the appellant says that he has not received any instructions from the appellant in spite of notice and endeavour to communicate with the appellant.

2. In view of the above, the present appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution.


VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

AUGUST 09, 2016/ak