

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1805/2016**

PIYUSH @ KUCCHI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

21.11.2016

%

Despite pass over, none is present on behalf of the petitioner.

This Court has already permitted the co-accused to withdraw the petition filed by him being Bail Appln. No. 1693/2016 with liberty to file the fresh one after injured victim is examined.

Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 21, 2016

‘v mittal’