

\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 6945/2016 and CM No. 28526/2016**
NAMITA DABAS & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Anand Yadav with Ms. Anita
Tomar, Advocate

versus

SUB-REGISTRAR-VID & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Deeptakirti VERma and Ms.
Aparna Iyer, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER
% **08.08.2016**

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the sale deed which he had presented qua 76/7, 76/14 and 48/25 situated in Village Kanjhawala, Delhi had not been taken up by the Sub Registrar and he refused to accept the sale deed for the purpose of registration. Counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to document at page 45 of the paper book. Submission being that the Sub Registrar himself asked the petitioner to fill up this form in triplicate which was required for registration. This form was duly filled up by the petitioner. Thereafter report under section 74(4) of Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954 was purportedly relied upon by the ADM and the sale deed of the petitioner was not accepted and it is verbal. Admittedly no communication in writing was given to the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondent on advance notice has put in appearance. His submission is that if a sale deed is not accepted by the Sub Registrar the order is normally passed in writing under section 71 of the Registration Act, 1908. Admittedly there is no such order in writing.

On the sale deed being filed before the Sub Registrar he will pass an order in writing qua this issue. The Sub Registrar will pass a speaking order as and when sale deed is presented by the petitioner within an outer limit of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Needless to state that if the petitioner is still aggrieved he may take recourse to law.

Petition disposed of.

Order dasti under signatures of Court Master.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

AUGUST 08, 2016

gb