

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 6826/2016**

ITD-ITD CEM JV

..... Petitioner

Through : Sh. Rajesh Jain and Sh. Virag Tiwari,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES

..... Respondent

Through : Sh. Satyakam, ASC with Sh. S.P.
Tiwari, VATO, Ward-110.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

22.08.2016

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the Commissioner could not clear/sign the formal Refund Order even though it has been processed on the record. It is further stated that the updated interest as well will be credited with the Refund Order.

In the circumstances, the respondent is hereby directed to issue Refund Order within 10 days and ensure that the amounts, which include the interest payable in accordance with law till the date of payment are also credited to the petitioner's account. The writ petition is allowed in the above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 22, 2016/ajk