

4# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2943/2016**

VIPIN SHARMA & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Mohd. Idrish, Advocate
with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K. Garg, APP for
the State with SI Ramphal, PS
Vasant Vihar.
Mr. Nazim Hussain Quareshi,
Advocate for respondent Nos. 2
and 3 with respondent No.2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
26.08.2016

%

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 1112/2014 under Sections 354B/509/506/323/34 IPC registered at PS Mehrauli, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from the Investigating Officer states that in the above noted FIR the besides the petitioners there is no other accused and respondent No.2, is the only complainant/victim in the present FIR. Respondent No.3 is not a proper party to the present petition.

On the oral request of learned counsel for petitioners, respondent No.3 Pawan Kumar Sharma is deleted from the array of parties.

CRL.M.C. 2943/2016

Page 1 of 2

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Neetu Sharma who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners, who are closely related to her, and in terms of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners, who are also present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel state that they will abide by the terms of settlement.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 1112/2014 under Sections 354B/509/506/323/34 IPC registered at PS Mehrauli, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 26, 2016/‘vn’