

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CUSAA 21/2016 & C.M.Nos.29415-29417/2016

C.C.NEW DELHI (ICD,TKD)

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Amit Bansal, Ms.Seema Dolo and
Mr.Akhil Kulshrestha, Advocates

versus

KAMAL SEHGAL

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

12.08.2016

The revenue has preferred this appeal against an order passed by the CESTAT which was of the opinion that pre-deposit of ₹ 1 crore in respect of penalty amount of ₹10 crores was justified having regard to the overall circumstances.

We see no infirmity with the order much less any substantial error warranting inference. The appeal along with pending applications is consequently dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 12, 2016

rb