

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 816/2012 & CM Appls. 19745/2012, 30513,
30514/2016

RASHIDA BANO

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sushma Ambardar, Adv.

versus

ASHOK KUMAR & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for MCD

Mr. F. Hasan, Adv. for R-3 to 11

SI Rajender Singh, PS Malviya Nagar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

16.09.2016

Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 11 states that neither the petitioner's property has been damaged nor the said respondents have any intent of damaging the petitioner's property. The statement made by learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 11 is accepted by this Court and the said respondents are held bound by the same.

In view of the aforesaid statement, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present contempt petition any further. Accordingly, the present contempt petition and applications are disposed of.

MANMOHAN, J

SEPTEMBER 16, 2016/NG