

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1546/2016**

ARVIN MANN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Manoj Pant, Mohd.
Shahrukh, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI
Naresh Kumar PS S.B. Dairy.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

03.08.2016

%

Crl.M.A. 11662/2016

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 1546/2016

By this petition the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.410/2016 under Sections 328/498-A/34 IPC registered at PS Shahbad Dairy.

Notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice. On instructions from the investigating officer learned APP submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the report from the hospital has already been obtained.

BAIL APPLN. 1546/2016

page 1 of 3

Learned APP has also taken me through the allegations in the FIR wherein the complainant alleges that she was married to the petitioner on 14th January, 2013 and a baby girl was born out of the said wedlock. Due to the constant harassment caused to the complainant she left the matrimonial home. During the course of hearing in the divorce petition filed by the petitioner he agreed to take the complainant back to the matrimonial home so she joined his company on 1st May, 2016 at the house of her in-laws. However, within 2-3 days, the petitioner and the in-laws started misbehaving with her. On 15th May, 2016 at 9.30 – 10.30 PM after dinner while she was sitting in her room, the petitioner along with his parents came to her room. The father-in-law caught hold of her legs, the mother-in-law her hands and the petitioner poured some liquid in her mouth. The complainant became unconscious and was rushed to the hospital by the PCR.

I have perused the MLC. As per the MLC the history given by the complainant was poisoning by medicine i.e. 8-9 tablets of paracetamol 325 mg. The parents of the petitioner have already been granted anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case I deem it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is therefore directed that in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that he will join the investigation as and when directed and will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court

concerned.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 03, 2016
'ga'