

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6821/2016

ANJUM BHARDWAJ

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. B.R. Sharma with Mr. Sumit
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Amrit Pal Singh, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S. MEHTA

ORDER

%

05.08.2016

CM.APPL 28026/2016(Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6821/2016

Challenge in this writ petition is to the order dated 27.05.2016 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal by which the OA filed by the petitioner stands dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Tribunal has failed to take into consideration that in fact there is no misconduct and thus, the inquiry and all proceedings arising therefrom are liable to be quashed.

Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the respondent enters appearance on an advance copy and submits that the Tribunal has correctly applied the law and dismissed the OA. At this stage, Mr. Sharma submits that without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, the petitioner would be satisfied that if

a direction is issued to the respondent to complete the inquiry expeditiously. Mr.Singh, counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent would have no objection to the same, subject to the condition that the petitioner cooperates in the inquiry.

Based on the submissions made by the counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to complete the inquiry proceedings within six months from today. The petitioner is directed to cooperate with the respondent in the inquiry proceedings.

The writ petition and all applications stand disposed of.

G.S.SISTANI, J

I.S. MEHTA, J

AUGUST 05, 2016

pst /

W.P.(C) 6821/2016

2/2